



Problem 1. The near and far half-open cones and parallelepipeds.

Let $\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, \dots, v_d\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be linearly independent and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be generic with respect to $C_{\mathcal{B}} := \text{cone}(\mathcal{B})$.

- Determine the number of distinct near half-open cones $C_{\mathcal{B}}[x]$ and near half-open parallelepipeds $\text{epi}_{\mathcal{B}}[x]$ and draw the possible configurations for $d = 2$.
- Is every near half-open cone also a far half-open cone? Is the same statement true for near and far half-open parallelepipeds?
- Can a near half-open cone $C_{\mathcal{B}}[x]$ (or a near half-open parallelepiped $\text{epi}_{\mathcal{B}}[x]$) be open in \mathbb{R}^d ? Can it be closed?

Problem 2. Triangulations.

Consider polyhedra $P_{\mathcal{B}} = \text{conv}(\mathcal{B})$ and $C_{\mathcal{B}} = \text{cone}(\mathcal{B}')$ with $\mathcal{B} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ and $\mathcal{B}' = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$.

- Describe a triangulation \mathcal{T} of $P_{\mathcal{B}}$ that does not use new vertices and a triangulation $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ that uses all lattice points $P \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$.
- Embed $P_{\mathcal{B}} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ in \mathbb{R}^3 via $x \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and describe two triangulations \mathcal{T}' and $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}'$ of $C_{\mathcal{B}'} := \text{cone}(\mathcal{B}')$ that restrict to your triangulations \mathcal{T} and $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ of P .
- Partition $C_{\mathcal{B}}$ into pairwise disjoint near half-open cones that are subcones of $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}'$.

Problem 3. Summable Laurent series.

Recall that the Laurent polynomials $\mathbb{L} := \mathbb{R}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_d^{\pm 1}]$ form a ring and that the set of formal Laurent series $\hat{\mathbb{L}} := \left\{ \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \lambda_i t^i \mid \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R} \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{Z}^d \right\}$ forms an \mathbb{L} -module.

- Show that the set of all summable Laurent series $\hat{\mathbb{L}}^{sum}$ forms a submodule of $\hat{\mathbb{L}}$, that is, prove first that $\hat{\mathbb{L}}^{sum}$ is a subgroup of $(\hat{\mathbb{L}}, +)$ and second that the scalar multiplication $p \cdot \hat{G}$ of any Laurent polynomial $p \in \mathbb{L}$ with any summable Laurent series $\hat{G} \in \hat{\mathbb{L}}^{sum}$ yields an element of $\hat{\mathbb{L}}^{sum}$.

Problem 4. Summable Laurent series and rational functions.

The field of all rational functions $\mathbb{R}(t_1, \dots, t_d)$ consists of equivalence classes $\left[\frac{p}{q} \right]$ where $p, q \in \mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_d]$ and $q \neq 0$ such that $f = \left[\frac{p}{q} \right] = \left[\frac{r}{s} \right]$ if and only if $p \cdot s = r \cdot q$. The rational functions are an \mathbb{L} -module.

Define $\Phi : \hat{\mathbb{L}}^{sum} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}(t_1, \dots, t_d)$ via $\hat{G} \mapsto \left[\frac{p}{q} \right]$ where $p, q \in \mathbb{L}$ with $q \neq 0$ such that $q\hat{G} = p$. Prove that Φ is well-defined and that Φ is a homomorphism of \mathbb{L} -modules